#### Compensation points

- Effect of N deposition on compensation points (Γ values)
- Lack of information on compensation points of semi-natural vegetation outside Europe

#### Issues regarding DELTA sampler

- Difference in deposition velocity of fine NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and coarse NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>
- Models don't get ratio of fine and coarse nitrate right
- DELTA system does not distinguish
- How can we get two different values?
- What does the DELTA measure
  - Characterise cut-off → CEH
  - Theoretical calculations of transmission (inlet & tubing) → Martin Ferm
  - Comparison with EMEP filter packs (sampling 2 mins. out of each hour; at remote sites)
  - Comparison against PM<sub>2.5</sub>/PM<sub>10</sub> GRAEGOR/MARGA at CEH EMEP Supersite
  - Comparison at Spanish site against PM<sub>2.5</sub> & PM<sub>10</sub> samplers
  - Use of cation for comparison with filter pack (no gas-phase interference)
  - Compile meta database on aerosol measurements collocated with DELTA sampler
  - NO<sub>2</sub> artefacts on K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> denuders (showing up as HNO<sub>3</sub>)
    - Test extended sampling train NaCl, followed by NaCO<sub>3</sub>
    - Test in high NO<sub>x</sub>, high RH environment (Edinburgh?)

## High end measurement systems

- Ammonia flux measurement approaches
  - Need to go beyond wet chemistry
  - Some new approaches (TDL, photo-acoustic, Mo-converter & chemiluminescence) potentially suffer aerosol interference
  - With inlet can only achieve about 1 Hz
  - TDL not quantified for aerosol interference
  - UoZ developing photo-acoustic system
    - Initially for gradient measurement (atmospheric pressure, no filter, no inlet line)
    - Later aiming at open-path, fast response sensor
- Aerosol measurement
  - Good progress by aerosol mass spectroscopy (fine NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> only, not coarse NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>)
  - UoZ photo-acoustic system for aerosol instrument
- Methods
  - Simple measurements; derive flux by comparison of concentration above field vs. background

### People & instrumentation

- Photo-acoustic:
  - Maria Jose Sanz: NOVA
  - CEH: Pranalytica
  - UoZ: own development
- TDLs
  - CEH
  - UoM
  - ECN
  - CNR
- AMS's
  - CEH
  - UoM
- GRAEGOR's
  - CEH
  - MPI Mainz

## Alternative Flux Measurement Approaches

- Concentration enhancement + background + dispersion modelling
- Surrogate surface collectors (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> & NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>)

#### **Processes**

- Example from Braunschweig comparison of different emission potentials
  - Suggests emissions over grassland does not derive from leaves
  - Leaf litter & soils are much more important that previously thought
  - Emissions after cut due to exposure of leaf litter to air (no recapture, higher temperature)
  - Need more plant physiological work on leaf litter
  - Models need better treatment of soil and leaf litter pools
  - Soil cuvette measurements
  - Extent of N remobilisation in autumn is important for emissions
  - In-canopy profiles
  - Requirement to identify importance of leaf litter for other systems

# Uncertainties in modelling NH<sub>3</sub> exchange

- Derive simplified, generalised compensation points for range of vegetation
- No data on stomatal compensation points for semi-natural vegetation outside Europe
- Uncertainty in chemistry effects on cuticular uptake resistances
  - How will they change with changing atmospheric composition

#### Advection

- Advection error induced by field itself, even if fetch sufficient according to footprint model.
- Not considered for any compound (inc. NH<sub>3</sub>)